

**SIEBERTH & PATTY, L.L.C.***patent attorneys*John F. Sieberth\*†  
R. Andrew Patty II\*†

Brenda C. Harvey\*

\*Member of Michigan bar. Restricts practice to patent law.  
\*\*Member of Louisiana and North Carolina bars.  
†Registered before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.  
\*\*\*Member of Louisiana bar.2924 Brakley Drive, Suite A-1  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70816  
phone: 225.291.4600  
facsimile: 225.291.4606  
<http://www.patentcounsel.com>**CONFIDENTIAL**

The information contained in this facsimile is **Privileged and Confidential** information intended for the use of the addressee listed below. If you are neither the intended recipient nor the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that **any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the content of this telecopied information is strictly prohibited**. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone (225) 291-4600 to arrange for return of the original documents to us.

DATE: April 23, 2001

To: USPTO  
Attn: Examiner Alton Pryor4556  
VIA FAX: 1-703/308-7924  
(Page 1 of 6)

From: John F. Sieberth

Re:

Our ref. no.: SU-7073-D  
Your ref. no.: 09/451,319

Message: Per phone conversation this morning, please see attached Response.

Please acknowledge by return facsimile safe receipt of this transmission. If there are any difficulties please advise.

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_ Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

Case SU-7073-D

FAX RECEIVED

ROBERT M. MOORE JR., ET AL.

APR 25 2001

APPLN NO.: 09/451,319

GROUP ART UNIT: 1616

FILED: NOVEMBER 30, 1999

EXAMINER: ALTON PRYOR

PREPARATION OF CONCENTRATED  
AQUEOUS BROMINE SOLUTIONS AND  
BIOCIDAL APPLICATIONS THEREOF

OFFICIAL

OCT 12 2000

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D. C. 20231  
Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action of August 15, 2000, wherein the claims were subjected to a requirement for restriction.

## REMARKS

Restriction has been required to one of the following inventions:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a process of producing a concentrate;
- II. Claims 9-12, drawn to a composition;
- III. Claims 13-19, drawn to a process for producing a concentrate;
- IV. Claims 20-29, drawn to a process for producing a concentrate;
- V. Claims 30-60, drawn to a method of using a composition to disinfect.

Applicants respectfully traverse this requirement. As pointed out in MPEP 803, second paragraph:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions.

[Emphasis added]

ab/br